Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/995,081	STEKLENSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven S. Paik	2876
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Steven S. Paik.	(3)	
(2) Kathleen Neuner Manne, Reg. No. 40,101.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 June 2004</u>	Time: <u>9:30</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 12, 25		
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
·		
Steven Park		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Re claim 12, the applicant's representative agreed to replace the phrase "long periods of time" in line 2 of claim 12, with -- at least 3 hours --.

Re claim 25, the applicant's representative agreed to delete the word, "uncovered/" in line 2 of claim 25. The Examiner's Amendment is authorized by the applicant's representative..